

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/525,986	02/25/2005	Tadashi Nakajima	05116/HG	5004		
1933 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			BASQUILL, SEAN M			
16TH Floor NEW YORK.	NY 10001-7708	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
- /		1612				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/21/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie						

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/525,	986	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
Examin	er	Art Unit	
Sean Ba	asquill	1612	

	Sean Basquill	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application, application, application and the same and the same application application application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLT WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of surface for the first proposed of the serving	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 July 2009. A brief in	compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mu	st be filed within two	months of the				
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: The proposed amendments filed with the n			ns of both Claims				
3 and 4 which previously individually depended froglaimed rho-kinase inhibitor and prostaglandin as a groups independently, but never specifically in comexaminer conduct a new search to fully examine the additional dependent claims, the proposed amendinventions and issues not out forth in previous claim.	nembers of Markush groups. As the bination with each other, the propose e claims as presented. Because the nents to the claims would also require (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	ne previous claims con osed amendments wo nese claims are further uire the examiner cons (a)).	nsidered these uld require the limited by sider additional				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		•	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) provided how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,13-16,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612 /Sean Basquill/ Art Unit 1612

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090715